

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,909	12/16/2005	Helmut Knoedl	R.306169	6076
2119 7550 022002008 RONALD E. GREIGG GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. 1423 POWHATAN STREET, UNIT ONE ALEXANDRIA, VA 223144			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/560,909	KNOEDL ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MINH-CHAU T. PHAM	1797			

	Cxammer	AILOIIIL						
	MINH-CHAU T. PHAM	1797						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SSI (6) MOXTHS from the mailing fade of the communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the sort or standed period for reply with 12 Mills. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E								
Disposition of Claims								
· _	_							
4) Claim(s) <u>15-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	VII ITOITI COTISIDETATION.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-34</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement							
are subject to restriction arrange	cicotori requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/05.	6) Other:	atent Application						

- 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/05.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,909

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bugli et al (6,890,366 B2).

Bugli et al disclose a particle filter for a motor engine (see Abstract, col. 2, lines 23-24) comprising a housing (20), a filter body (22) located inside the housing (20), a securing element (24, col. 2, lines 52-64) with outer flange (see 56 in Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 7-11) wherein the flange is secured to the housing (20), and compensation means acting between the securing element and the housing and compensating for motions of the filter walls relative to the housing (see 120, 122, 128, 132 & 134 in Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 10-47). Bugli et al further disclose the compensation means is folded at least once

Application/Control Number: 10/560,909

Art Unit: 1797

(see 120, 122, 128, 132 & 134 in Fig. 4). Claims 15-34 differ from the disclosure of Bugli et al in that the particle filter element being a cylindrical with a plurality of filter walls extending in the radial direction. Bugli et al disclose planar filtering means instead of cylindrical filter. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any shape of filter such as cylindrical or frustoconical instead of planar since it is well settled that mere change of shape without affecting the function of the part would have been an obvious design modification. Eskimo Pie Corp v. Levous et al 3 USPQ 23.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-CHAU T. PHAM whose telephone number is (571)272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,909 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Minh-Chau T. Pham/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797 February 15, 2008